arXiv:1508.07703v4 [math.GN] 4 Oct 2015

KURATOWSKI MONOIDS OF n-TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

T. BANAKH, O. CHERVAK, T. MARTYNYUK, M. PYLYPOVYCH, A. RAVSKY, M. SIMKIV

Dedicated to the 120-th birthday of K. Kuratowski (1896-1980)

Abstract. Generalizing the famous 14-set closure-complement Theorem of Kuratowski from 1922, we prove that for a set X endowed with n pairwise comparable topologies $\tau_1 \subset \cdots \subset \tau_n$, by repeated application of the operations of complement and closure in the topologies $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ to a subset $A \subset X$ we can obtain at most $2K(n) = 2\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \binom{i+j}{i}\binom{i+j}{j}$ distinct sets.

1. Introduction

This paper was motivated by the famous Kuratowski 14-set closure-complement Theorem [1], which says that the repeated application of the operations of closure and complement to a subset A of a topological space X yields at most 14 pairwise distinct sets[1]. More precisely, this theorem says that for any topological space (X,τ) the operators of complement $c : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$, $c : A \mapsto X \setminus A$ and closure $\bar{\tau} : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\bar{\tau} : A \mapsto \bar{A}$, generate a submonoid $\langle c, \bar{\tau}\rangle$ of cardinality ≤ 14 in the monoid $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ of all self-maps of the power-set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of X.

In [4] Shallitt and Willard constructed two commuting closure operators $p, q : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ on the power-set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a countable set X such that the submonoid $\langle p, q, c\rangle \subset \mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ generated by these closure operators and the operator of complement is infinite. In Example 3.1 below we shall define two metrizable topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on a countable set X such that the closure operators $\bar{\tau}_1$ and $\bar{\tau}_2$ in the topologies τ_1 and τ_2 generate an infinite submonoid $\langle \bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2\rangle$ in the monoid $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ of all self-maps of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Moreover, for some set $A \subset X$ the set $\{f(A) : f \in \langle \bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2\rangle\}$ is infinite. This shows that Kuratowski's 14-set theorem does not generalize to spaces endowed with two or more topologies.

The situation changes dramatically if two topologies τ_1 and τ_2 on a set X are comparable, i.e., one of these topologies is contained in the other. In this case we shall prove that the closure operators $\bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2 : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ induced by these topologies together with the operator c of complement generate a submonoid $\langle \bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2, c\rangle \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ of cardinality ≤ 126. In fact, we shall consider this problem in a more general context of multitopological spaces and polytopological spaces.

By a *multitopological space* we understand a set X endowed with a family $\mathcal{T}$ of topologies on X. A multitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called *polytopological* if the family of its topologies $\mathcal{T}$ is linearly ordered by the inclusion relation. A typical example of a polytopological space is the real line endowed with the Euclidean and Sorgenfrey topologies. Another natural example of a polytopological space is any Banach space, carrying the norm and weak topologies. A dual Banach space is an example of a polytopological space carrying three topologies: the norm topology, the weak topology and the $*$-weak topology. A topological space (X,τ) can be thought as a polytopological space $(X, \{\tau\})$ endowed with the family $\{\tau\}$ consisting of a single topology τ.

For a topology τ on a set X by $\breve{\tau} : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\bar{\tau} : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ we shall denote the operators of taking the interior and closure with respect to the topology τ. These operators assign to each subset $A \subset X$ its interior $\breve{\tau}(A)$ and closure $\bar{\tau}(A)$, respectively. Since $\tau = \{\breve{\tau}(A) : A \subset X\} = \{X \setminus \bar{\tau}(A) : A \subset X\}$ the topology τ can be recovered from the operators $\breve{\tau}$ and $\bar{\tau}$.

For a multitopological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ the submonoid

$$\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X}) = \langle \breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\rangle$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A10, 54H15, 06A11.

Key words and phrases. Kuratowski monoid, polytopological space.

[1] A complete bibliography related to the Kuratowski 14-set closure-complement Theorem is collected on the web-site (http://www.mathtransit.com/cornucopia.php) created by Mark Bowron.

in $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ generated by the interior and closure operators $\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}$ for $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$, will be called *the Kuratowski monoid* of the multitopological space $\mathbf{X}$. A somewhat larger submonoid

$$\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})=\langle c,\bar{\tau}:\tau\in\mathcal{T}\rangle$$

in $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ generated by the operator of complement c and the closure operators $\bar{\tau}$, $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$, will be called *the full Kuratowski monoid* of the multitopological space $\mathbf{X}$.

Taking into account that $\breve{\tau}=c\circ\bar{\tau}\circ c$ and $\bar{\tau}=c\circ\breve{\tau}\circ c$, we see that $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\subset\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ and moreover,

$$\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})=\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\cup\big(c\circ\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\big),$$

which implies that $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})|\le 2\cdot|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})|$.

The notion of a multitopological space has one disadvantage: multitopological spaces do not form a category (it is not clear what to understand under a morphism of multitopological spaces). This problem with multitopological spaces can be easily fixed by introducing their parametric version called L-topological spaces where $(L,\le)$ is a partially ordered set.

Given a subset X we denote by $\mathsf{Top}(X)$ the family of all possible topologies on X, partially ordered by the inclusion relation. The family $\mathsf{Top}(X)$ is a lattice whose smallest element is the anti-discrete topology τ_a and the largest element is the discrete topology τ_d on X. Observe that for the discrete topology the operators $\breve{\tau}_d$ and $\bar{\tau}_d$ coincide with the identity operator 1_X on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Let $(L,\le)$ be a partially ordered set. By definition, an *L-topology* on a set X is any monotone map $\tau:L\to\mathsf{Top}(X)$. The monotonicity of τ means that for any elements $i\le j$ in L we get $\tau(i)\subset\tau(j)$. In the sequel for an element $i\in L$ it will be convenient to denote the topology $\tau(i)$ by τ_i. By an *L-topological space* we shall understand a pair (X,τ) consisting of a set X and an L-topology $\tau:L\to\mathsf{Top}(X)$ on X.

By a morphism between two L-topological spaces (X,τ) and (Y,σ) we understand a map $f:X\to Y$ which is continuous as a map between topological spaces (X,τ_i) and (Y,σ_i) for every $i\in L$. L-Topological spaces and their morphisms form a category called the *category of L-topological spaces*. Each L-topological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\tau)$ can be thought as a multitopological space endowed with the family of topologies $\{\tau_i\}_{i\in L}$. If the set L is linearly ordered, then the multitopological space $(X,\{\tau_i\}_{i\in L})$ is polytopological.

So we can speak about the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ and the full Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ of an L-topological space $\mathbf{X}$.

We shall be especially interested in (full) Kuratowski monoids of n-topological spaces where $n=\{0,\dots,n-1\}$ is a finite non-zero ordinal (or a natural number). Observe that n-topological spaces can be thought as sets endowed with n-topologies $\tau_0\subset\tau_1\subset\dots\subset\tau_{n-1}$.

We shall prove that the upper bound for the cardinality of the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(X)$ of an n-topological space X is given by the number

$$K(n)=\sum_{i,j=0}^{n}\tbinom{i+j}{i}\cdot\tbinom{i+j}{j}$$

where $\binom{n}{i}=\frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}$ is the binomial coefficient.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For any n-topological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\mathcal{T})$ its Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})|\le K(n)$ and its full Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})|\le 2\cdot K(n)$.*

The upper bounds $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})|\le K(n)$ and $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})|\le 2\cdot K(n)$ given in Theorem 1.1 are exact as shown in our next theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For every $n\in\omega$ there is an n-topological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\mathcal{T})$ such that $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})|=K(n)$ and $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})|=2\cdot K(n)$.*

This theorem will be proved in Section 8 (see Corollary 8.2). The asymptotics of the sequence $K(n)$ is described in the following theorem, which will be proved in Section 6.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists $\lim_{n\to\infty}K(n)/\binom{2n}{n}^2=\sup_{n\to\infty}K(n)/\binom{2n}{n}^2=\frac{16}{9}$ which implies that $K(n)=\big(\frac{16}{9}+o(1)\big)\cdot\binom{2n}{n}^2=\frac{16^{n+1}}{9\pi n}\cdot\big(1+o(1)\big)$.*

The values of the sequences $K(n)$ and $2K(n)$ for $n\le 9$, calculated with help of computer are presented in the following table:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$K(n)$	1	7	63	697	8549	111033	1495677	20667463	291020283	4157865643
$2K(n)$	2	**14**	126	1394	17098	222066	2991359	41334926	582040566	8315731286

In particular, the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of any topological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau)$ consists of 7 elements (some of which can coincide [2]):

$$1,\ \breve{\tau},\ \bar{\tau},\ \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau},\ \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau},\ \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau},\ \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}.$$

For a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau)$ endowed with two topologies $\tau_0 \subset \tau_1$ the number of elements of the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ increases to 63 (see Proposition 9.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&1,\ \breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\\
&\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\\
&\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\\
&\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\\
&\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\\
&\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

2. The Kuratowski monoid of a saturated polytopological space

In this section we introduce a class of n-topological spaces $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ whose Kuratowski monoids $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ have cardinality strictly smaller than $K(n)$.

A multitopological space (X, τ) is called *saturated* if for any topologies $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ each non-empty open subset $U \in \tau_0$ has non-empty interior in the topology τ_1. A typical example of a saturated multitopological space is the real line $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the 2-element family $\mathcal{T} = \{\tau_0, \tau_1\}$ consisting of the Euclidean and Sorgenfrey topologies $\tau_0 \subset \tau_1$.

For a linearly ordered set L an L-topological space (X, τ) is defined to be *saturated* if the multitopological space $(X, \{\tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ is saturated.

Theorem 2.1. *For a saturated polytopological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ coincides with the set*

$$\{1\} \cup \{\breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau},\ \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau},\ \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

and hence has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| \le 1 + 6 \cdot |\mathcal{T}|$.

Proof. The definition of a saturated polytopological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ implies that $\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1 = \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0$ for any topologies $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in \mathcal{T}$. Applying to this equality the operator c of taking complement, we get

$$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1 = c\bar{\tau}_0 cc\breve{\tau}_1 c = c\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1 c = c\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0 c = c\bar{\tau}_0 cc\breve{\tau}_0 c = \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0.$$

This implies that

$$\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X}) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathsf{K}(X, \tau) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \{1, \breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau}, \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}, \breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}\}$$

and hence $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| \le 1 + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} (|\mathsf{K}(X, \tau)| - 1) \le 1 + 6 \cdot |\mathcal{T}|$. □

Example 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbb{R}, \{\tau_0, \tau_1\})$ be the real line $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the Euclidean topology τ_0 and the Sorgenfrey topology τ_1. The Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| = 13$. Moreover, for some set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ the family $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})A = \{f(A) : A \in \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\}$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})A| = |\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| = 13$.*

Proof. The upper bound $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| \le 13$ follows from Theorem 2.1. To prove the lower bound $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| \ge 13$, consider the subset

$$A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [3^{-2n-1}, 3^{-2n}) \cup (1, 2) \cup \{3\} \cup \big([4, 5) \cap \mathbb{Q}\big)$$

and observe that the following 13 subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ are pairwise distinct, witnessing that $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| \ge |\{f(A) : f \in \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\}| \ge 13$.

A	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup(1,2)\cup\{3\}\cup\big([4,5)\cap\mathbb{Q}\big)$
$\breve{\tau}_0(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}(3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup(1,2)$
$\bar{\tau}_0(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n}]\cup[1,2]\cup\{3\}\cup[4,5]$
$\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n}]\cup[1,2]$
$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}(3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)\cup(4,5)$
$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}(3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)$
$\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n}]\cup[1,2]\cup[4,5]$
$\breve{\tau}_1(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup(1,2)$
$\bar{\tau}_1(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)\cup\{3\}\cup[4,5)$
$\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)$
$\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)\cup[4,5)$
$\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1(A)$	$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)$
$\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1(A)$	$\{0\}\cup\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[3^{-2n-1},3^{-2n})\cup[1,2)\cup[4,5)$

□

Theorem 2.1 gives a partial answer to the following general problem.

Problem 2.3. *Which properties of a polytopological space X are reflected in the algebraic structure of its Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(X)$?*

3. An example of a multitopological space with infinite Kuratowski monoid

In this section we shall construct the following example announced in the introduction.

Example 3.1. *There is a countable space X endowed with two (incomparable) metrizable topologies τ_0, τ_1 such that the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of the multitopological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\{\tau_0,\tau_1\})$ is infinite. Moreover, for some set $A\subset X$ the family $\{f(A):f\in\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\}$ is infinite.*

Proof. Take any countable metrizable topological space X containing a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets $(X_n)_{n\in\omega}$ such that $X_0=X$, $\bigcap_{n\in\omega}X_n=\emptyset$ and X_{n+1} is nowhere dense in X_n for all $n\in\omega$.

To find such a space X, take the convergent sequence $S_0=\{0\}\cup\{2^{-n}:n\in\omega\}$ and consider the subspace

$$X=\{(x_k)_{k\in\omega}\in S_0^\omega:\exists n\in\omega\ \forall k\ge n\ \ x_k=0\}\setminus\{0\}^\omega$$

of the countable power S_0^ω endowed with the Tychonoff product topology. It is easy to see that the subsets

$$X_n=\{(x_k)_{k\in\omega}\in X:\forall k<n\ \ x_k=0\},\ \ n\in\omega,$$

have the required properties: $X_0=X$, $\bigcap_{n\in\omega}X_n=\emptyset$ and X_{n+1} is nowhere dense in X_n.

On the space X consider two topologies

$$\tau_0=\{U\subset X:\forall n\in\omega\ \ U\cap(X_{2n}\setminus X_{2n+2})\text{ is open in }X_{2n}\setminus X_{2n+2}\}$$

and

$$\tau_1=\{U\subset X:\forall n\in\omega\ \ U\cap(X_{2n+1}\setminus X_{2n+3})\text{ is open in }X_{2n+1}\setminus X_{2n+3}\}.$$

Observe that τ_0 coincides with the topology of the topological sum $\bigoplus_{n\in\omega}X_{2n}\setminus X_{2n+2}$ while τ_2 coincides with the topology of the topological sum $\big(\bigoplus_{x\in X_0\setminus X_1}\{x\}\big)\oplus\bigoplus_{n\in\omega}X_{2n+1}\setminus X_{2n+3}$.

We claim that the multitopological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\{\tau_1,\tau_2\})$ has the required properties.

By $\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}_2:\mathcal{P}(X)\to\mathcal{P}(X)$ we denote the closure operators in the topologies τ_1 and τ_2, respectively. The nowhere density of X_{n+1} in X_n for all $n\in\omega$ and the definition of the topologies τ_0,τ_1 imply that for every $n\in\omega$

1. $\bar{\tau}_0(X\setminus X_{2n+1})=X\setminus X_{2n+2}$;
2. $\bar{\tau}_1(X\setminus X_{2n+2})=X\setminus X_{2n+3}$,
3. $\bar{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0(X\setminus X_{2n+1})=X\setminus X_{2n+3}$,
4. $(\bar{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0)^n(X\setminus X_1)=X\setminus X_{2n+1}$.

Therefore, for the set $A=X\setminus X_1$ the sets $(\bar{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0)^n(A)$, $n\in\omega$, are pairwise distinct, which implies that the family $\{f(A):f\in\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\}$ is infinite and hence the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of the multitopological space $\mathbf{X}=(X,\{\tau_0,\tau_1\})$ is infinite too. □

4. Kuratowski monoids

To prove Theorem 1.1 we shall use the natural structure of partial order on the monoid $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$. For two maps $f, g \in \mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathcal{P}(X)}$ we write $f \le g$ if $f(A) \subset g(A)$ for every subset $A \subset X$. This partial order turns $\mathcal{P}(X)$ into a partially ordered monoid.

By a *partially ordered monoid* we understand a monoid M endowed with a partial order $\le$ which is *compatible* with the semigroup operation of M in the sense that for any points $x, y, z \in M$ the inequality $x \le y$ implies $xz \le yz$ and $zx \le zy$. Recall that a *monoid* is a semigroup S possessing a two-sided unit $1 \in S$.

Observe that for two comparable topologies $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$ on a set X we get

$$\breve{\tau}_1 \le \breve{\tau}_2 \le 1_X \le \bar{\tau}_2 \le \bar{\tau}_1$$

where $1_X : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is the identity transformation of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Now we see that for a polytopological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ its Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X}) = \langle \breve{\tau}, \bar{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is generated by the linearly ordered set

$$L(\mathbf{X}) = \{\breve{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \{1_X\} \cup \{\bar{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

This leads to the following

Definition 4.1. A *Kuratowski monoid* is a partially ordered monoid K generated by a finite linearly ordered set L containing the unit 1 of K and consisting of idempotents.

The set L will be called a *linear generating set* of the Kuratowski monoid K. This set can be written as the union $L = L_- \cup \{1\} \cup L_+$ where $L_- = \{x \in L : x < 1\}$ and $L_+ = \{x \in L : x > 1\}$ are the sets of *negative* and *positive generating elements* of K.

A Kuratowski monoid K is called a *Kuratowski monoid of type* (n, p) if K has a linear generating set L such that $|L_-| = n$ and $|L_+| = p$.

For two numbers $n, p \in \omega$ consider the number

$$K(n,p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \tbinom{i+j}{i} \cdot \tbinom{i+j}{j}$$

and observe that $K(n) = K(n, n)$ for every $n \in \omega$.

It is easy to see that for each polytopological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ endowed with $n = |\mathcal{T}|$ topologies, its Kuratowski monoid $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{X})$ is a Kuratowski monoid of type (n, n) or $(n-1, n-1)$. The latter case happens if the polytopology $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ contains the discrete topology τ_d on X. In this case $\breve{\tau}_d = 1_X = \bar{\tau}_d$.

Now we see that Theorem 1.1 is a partial case of the following more general theorem, which will be proved in Section 7 (more precisely, in Theorem 7.1).

Theorem 4.2. *Each Kuratowski monoid K of type (n, p) has cardinality $|K| \le K(n, p)$.*

The values of the double sequence $K(n, p)$ for $n, p \le 9$ were calculated by computer:

$n\backslash p$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	**1**	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	2	**7**	17	34	60	97	147	212	294	395
2	3	17	**63**	180	431	909	1743	3104	5211	8337
3	4	34	180	**697**	2173	5787	13677	29438	58770	110296
4	5	60	431	2173	**8549**	28039	80029	204690	479047	1041798
5	6	97	909	5787	28039	**111033**	376467	1128392	3059118	7629873
6	7	147	1743	13677	80029	376467	**1495677**	5192258	16140993	45761773
7	8	212	3104	29438	204690	1128392	5192258	**20667463**	73025423	233519803
8	9	294	5211	58770	479047	3059118	16140993	73025423	**291020283**	1042490763
9	10	395	8337	110296	1041798	7629873	45761773	233519803	1042490763	**4157865643**

5. Kuratowski words

Theorem 4.2 will be proved by showing that each element of a Kuratowski monoid K with linear generating set L can be represented by a Kuratowski word in the alphabet L. Kuratowski words are defined as follows.

By a *pointed linearly ordered set* we understand a linearly ordered set L with a distinguished element $1 \in L$ called the *unit* of L. This element divides the set $L \setminus \{1\}$ into negative and positive parts $L_- = \{x \in L : x < 1\}$ and $L_+ = \{x \in L : x > 1\}$, respectively. By $FS_L = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^n$ we denote the free semigroup over L. It consists of non-empty words in the alphabet L. The semigroup operation on FS_L is defined as the concatenation of words. The set L is identified with the set L^1 of words of length 1 in the alphabet L.

A word $w = x_1 \dots x_n \in FS_L$ of length n is called *alternating* if for each natural number i with $1 \le i < n$ the doubleton $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ intersects both sets $L_\_$ and L_+. According to this definition, words of length 1 also are alternating. On the other hand, an alternating word of length ≥ 2 does not contain a letter equal to 1.

An alternating word $x_0 \cdots x_n \in FS_L$ of length $n+1 \ge 2$ is defined to be

- a $V_\mp$-*word* iff there is an integer number $m \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ such that the sequences $(x_{m+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_- and the sequences $(x_{m+1+2i})_{0 \le i < \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m+1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m+1}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+;
- a $V_\pm$-*word* if there is a number m such that $m \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ such that the sequences $(x_{m+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+ and the sequences $(x_{m+1+2i})_{0 \le i < \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m+1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m+1}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_-;
- a W_--*word* if there is a number $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $x_{m-1} = x_{m+1} \in L_-$, the sequences $(x_{m+1+2i})_{0 \le i < \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m-1}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_- and the sequences $(x_{m+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+;
- a W_+-*word* if there is a number $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $x_{m-1} = x_{m+1} \in L_+$, the sequences $(x_{m+1+2i})_{0 \le i < \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m-1}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+ and the sequences $(x_{m+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{n-m}{2}}$, $(x_{m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{m}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_-.

By $\mathcal{V}_\mp$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_\pm$, $\mathcal{W}_-$, $\mathcal{W}_+$) we denote the family of all $V_\mp$-words (resp. $V_\pm$-words, W_--word, W_+-words) in the alphabet L. It is easy to see that the families of words $\mathcal{V}_\mp$, $\mathcal{V}_\pm$, $\mathcal{W}_-$, $\mathcal{W}_+$ are pairwise disjoint. Words that belong to the set

$$\mathcal{K}_L = L^1 \cup \mathcal{V}_\pm \cup \mathcal{V}_\mp \cup \mathcal{W}_- \cup \mathcal{W}_+$$

are called *Kuratowski words* in the alphabet L.

Let us calculate the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ depending on the cardinalities $n = |L_-|$ and $p = |L_+|$ of the negative and positive parts of L.

For non-negative integers n, r by $\binom{n}{r}$ we denote the cardinality of the set of r-element subsets of an n-element set. It is clear that

$$\binom{n}{r} = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} & \text{if } 0 \le r \le n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The numbers $\binom{n}{r}$ will be called binomial coefficients. The following properties of binomial coefficients are well-known (see, e.g. [3, §5.1]).

Lemma 5.1. *For any non-negative integer numbers m, n, k we get*

1. $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$,
2. $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k}$, *and*
3. $\binom{n+m}{k} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l}\binom{m}{k-l}$.

In the following theorem we calculate the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ of Kuratowski words.

Theorem 5.2. *For any finite pointed linearly ordered set L with $n = |L_-|$ and $p = |L_+|$ we get*

1. $|\mathcal{V}_\mp| = \sum\limits_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum\limits_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum\limits_{l=0}^{a}\sum\limits_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+1}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r}$,
2. $|\mathcal{V}_\pm| = \sum\limits_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum\limits_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum\limits_{l=0}^{a}\sum\limits_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+1}{l}\binom{b+1}{r+1}$,
3. $|\mathcal{W}_+| = \sum\limits_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum\limits_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum\limits_{l=0}^{a}\sum\limits_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+1}{l}\binom{b+1}{r}$,
4. $|\mathcal{W}_-| = \sum\limits_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum\limits_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum\limits_{l=0}^{a}\sum\limits_{r=0}^{a} \binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+1}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r+1}$,
5. $|\mathcal{K}_L| = \sum_{a=0}^{n}\sum_{b=0}^{p}\binom{a+b}{a}\binom{a+b}{b} = K(n,p)$.

Proof. 1. To calculate the number of $V_\mp$-words, fix any $V_\mp$-word $v \in V_\mp$ and write it as an alternating word $v = x_k \dots x_{2m} \dots x_q$ such that the sequences $(x_{2m+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{q-2m}{2}}$ and $(x_{2m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{2m-k}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_- and the sequences $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ and $(x_{2m+1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{2m+1-k}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+. It follows that the sequence $(x_{2m+2i})_{1 \le i \le \frac{q-2m}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of length $r = \lfloor \frac{q-2m}{2} \rfloor$ in the linearly ordered set $A = \{x \in L : x > x_{2m}\} \subset L_-$. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{a}{r}$ where the cardinality $a = |A|$ can vary from 0 (if x_{2m} is the largest element of the set L_-) till $n-1$ (if x_{2m} is the smallest element of L_-). By analogy, $(x_{2m-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m-k}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of length $l = \lfloor\frac{2m-k}{2}\rfloor$ in the linearly ordered set A and the number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{a}{l}$.

If $l = \frac{2m-k}{2}$, then $2m = k + 2l$ and $(x_{2m+1-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m+1-k}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{2m+1-k}{2}\rfloor = l$ in the linearly ordered set $B = \{x \in L : x < x_{2m+1}\} \subset L_+$. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{l}$ where $b = |B| < p$. If $l = \frac{2m-k-1}{2}$, then $2m = k + 1 + 2l$ and $(x_{2m+1-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m+1-k}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{2m+1-k}{2}\rfloor = l + 1$ in the set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{l+1}$.

If $r = \frac{q-2m}{2}$, then $2m = q - 2r$ and $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{q-2m-1}{2}\rfloor = r-1$ in the linearly ordered set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{r-1}$. If $r = \frac{q-2m-1}{2}$, then $2m = q - 1 - 2r$ and $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequences of length $\lfloor\frac{q-2m-1}{2}\rfloor = r$ in the linearly ordered set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{r}$. Summing up and applying Lemma 5.1(2), we conclude that the family $\mathcal{V}_\mp$ of all $V_\mp$-words has cardinality

$$|\mathcal{V}_\mp| = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\Big(\tbinom{b}{l}\tbinom{b}{r} + \tbinom{b}{l+1}\tbinom{b}{r} + \tbinom{b}{l}\tbinom{b}{r-1} + \tbinom{b}{l+1}\tbinom{b}{r-1}\Big) =$$

$$= \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\Big(\tbinom{b+1}{l+1}\tbinom{b}{r} + \tbinom{b+1}{l+1}\tbinom{b}{r-1}\Big) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\tbinom{b+1}{l+1}\tbinom{b+1}{r}.$$

2. By analogy we can prove that

$$|\mathcal{V}_\pm| = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\tbinom{b+1}{l}\tbinom{b+1}{r+1}.$$

3. To calculate the number of W_+-words, fix any W_+-word $w \in \mathcal{W}_+$ and write it as an alternating word $w = x_k \dots x_{2m} \dots x_q$ such that $k < 2m < q$, $x_{2m} \in L_-$, $x_{2m-1} = x_{2m+1} \in L_+$, the sequences $(x_{2m+2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{q-2m}{2}}$ and $(x_{2m-2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{2m-k}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_- whereas the sequences $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ and $(x_{2m-1-2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{2m-k-1}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+.

It follows that $(x_{2m-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m-k}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of length $l = \lfloor\frac{2m-k}{2}\rfloor$ in the linearly ordered set $A = \{x \in L : x > x_{2m}\} \subset L_-$. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{a}{l}$ where $a = |A| < n$. By analogy, $(x_{2m+2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{q-2m}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of length $r = \lfloor\frac{q-2m}{2}\rfloor$ in the linearly ordered set A and the number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{a}{r}$.

If $l = \frac{2m-k}{2}$, then $2m = 2l + k$ and $(x_{2m-1-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m-k-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{2m-k-1}{2}\rfloor = \lfloor\frac{2l-1}{2}\rfloor = l - 1$ in the linearly ordered set $B = \{x \in L : x < x_{2m-1} = x_{2m+1}\} \subset L_+$. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{l-1}$ where $b = |B| < p$. If $l = \frac{2m-k-1}{2}$, then $(x_{2m-1-2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{2m-k-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{2m-k-1}{2}\rfloor = l$ in the set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{l}$.

If $r = \frac{q-2m}{2}$, then $2m = q - 2r$ and $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{q-2m-1}{2}\rfloor = r-1$ in the linearly ordered set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{r-1}$. If $r = \frac{q-2m-1}{2}$, then $2m = q - 1 - 2r$ and $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{1\le i\le \frac{q-2m-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of length $\lfloor\frac{q-2m-1}{2}\rfloor = r$ in the linearly ordered set B. The number of such sequences is equal to $\tbinom{b}{r}$. Summing up, we conclude that the family $\mathcal{W}_+$ of all W_+-words has cardinality

$$|\mathcal{W}_+| = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\Big(\tbinom{b}{l}\tbinom{b}{r} + \tbinom{b}{l}\tbinom{b}{r-1} + \tbinom{b}{l-1}\tbinom{b}{r} + \tbinom{b}{l-1}\tbinom{b}{r-1}\Big) =$$

$$= \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\Big(\tbinom{b}{l}\tbinom{b+1}{r} + \tbinom{b}{l-1}\tbinom{b+1}{r}\Big) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a} \tbinom{a}{l}\tbinom{a}{r}\tbinom{b+1}{l}\tbinom{b+1}{r}.$$

4. By analogy we can prove that

$$|\mathcal{W}_-| = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+1}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r+1}.$$

5. By the preceding items

$$|\mathcal{K}_L| = |L| + |\mathcal{V}_\mp| + |\mathcal{V}_\pm| + |\mathcal{W}_-| + |\mathcal{W}_+| = 1+n+p+|\mathcal{V}_\mp| + |\mathcal{W}_-| + |\mathcal{V}_\pm| + |\mathcal{W}_+| =$$

$$= 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\Big(\binom{b+1}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r} + \binom{b+1}{l}\binom{b+1}{r} + \binom{b+1}{l}\binom{b+1}{r+1} + \binom{b+1}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r+1}\Big) =$$

$$= 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\Big(\binom{b+2}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r} + \binom{b+2}{l+1}\binom{b+1}{r+1}\Big) =$$

$$= 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\sum_{l=0}^{a}\sum_{r=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{a}{r}\binom{b+2}{l+1}\binom{b+2}{r+1} = 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{b+2}{l+1}\Big)^2 =$$

$$= 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{a}\binom{a}{l}\binom{b+2}{b+1-l}\Big)^2 = 1+n+p+\sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\binom{a+b+2}{b+1}^2 =$$

$$= 1+n+p+\sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{b=1}^{p}\binom{a+b}{b}^2 = \sum_{a=0}^{n}\sum_{b=0}^{p}\binom{a+b}{b}\binom{a+b}{a} = K(n,p).$$

□

6. AN ASYMPTOTICS OF THE SEQUENCE $K(n)$

In this section we study the asymptotical growth of the sequence $K(n) = K(n,n)$ and prove Theorem 1.3 announced in the Introduction as a corollary of the following results.

For every integers $0 \le a, b \le n$ put

$$c_{a,b}(n) = \frac{\binom{2n-a-b}{n-a}}{\binom{2n}{n}} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-a+1)\cdot n(n-1)\cdots(n-b+1)}{2n(2n-1)\cdots(2n-a-b+1)}$$

and observe that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_{a,b}(n) = 2^{-(a+b)}. \tag{1}$$

For every $n \ge 0$ put $k(n) = K(n)/\binom{2n}{n}^2$ and observe that

$$k(n) = \frac{K(n)}{\binom{2n}{n}^2} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n}\frac{\binom{i+j}{i}^2}{\binom{2n}{n}^2} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{n}\frac{\binom{n-a+n-b}{n-a}^2}{\binom{2n}{n}^2} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{n} c_{a,b}(n)^2.$$

Proposition 6.1. *For every $n \ge 0$ we have $k(n) \le \frac{16}{9}$.*

Proof. $k(0) = 1$, $k(1) = k(2) = \frac{7}{4} < \frac{16}{9}$, $k(3) = \frac{697}{400} < \frac{7}{4}$, and $k(4) = \frac{8549}{4900} < \frac{16}{9}$. Suppose now that for some $n \ge 5$ we have proved that $k(n-1) \le \frac{16}{9}$.

We shall use the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.2. *For each $0 < a \le n$ we have $c_{a,0}(n) < 2^{-a}$.*

Proof. This lemma follows from the equality $c_{a,0}(n) = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-a+1)}{2n(2n-1)\cdots(2n-a+1)}$ and the inequality $(2n-l) > 2(n-l)$ holding for all $0 < l \le n$. □

Lemma 6.3. $\frac{16}{9}c_{1,1}(n)^2 + 2\big(c_{1,0}(n)^2 + c_{2,0}(n)^2\big) < \frac{1}{9} + 2\big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4^2}\big)$ *for $n \ge 5$.*

Proof. Observe that $c_{1,1}(n) = \frac{n}{2(2n-1)}$, $c_{1,0}(n) = 1/2$, and $c_{2,0}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2(2n-1)}$. Routine transformations show that the inequality in the lemma are equivalent to $19 < 4n$, which holds for $n \ge 5$. □

Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
k(n) &= c_{0,0}(n)^2 + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n} c_{a,0}(n)^2 + \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} c_{a,b}(n)^2 = 1 + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n} c_{a,0}(n)^2 + \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} c_{a+1,b+1}(n)^2 = \\
&= 1 + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n} c_{a,0}(n)^2 + \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} \tfrac{n^4}{(2n(2n-1))^2} c_{a,b}(n-1)^2 = \\
&= 1 + 2\sum_{a=3}^{n} c_{a,0}(n)^2 + 2\sum_{a=1}^{2} c_{a,0}(n)^2 + c_{1,1}(n)^2 k(n-1) < \\
&< 1 + 2\sum_{a=3}^{n} \frac{1}{4^a} + 2(c_{1,0}(n)^2 + c_{2,0}(n)^2) + c_{1,1}(n)^2 \cdot \frac{16}{9} < \\
&< 1 + 2\sum_{a=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^a} + 2\Big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4^2}\Big) + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{16}{9}
\end{aligned}$$

according to Lemma 6.3.

□

Proposition 6.4. *There exists a limit* $\lim_{n\to\infty} k(n) = 16/9$.

Proof. The equality (1) implies that $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} k(n) \ge \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2(a+b)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(i+1)4^{-i} = 16/9$. By Proposition 6.1, $\lim_{n\to\infty} k(n) = 16/9$. □

By Stirling's approximation, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n}}(n/e)^n = 1$, which yields the second equality in Theorem 1.3.

7. Representing elements of Kuratowski monoids by Kuratowski words

Let K be a Kuratowski monoid with linear generating set L and let $L_- = \{x \in L : x < 1\}$ and $L_+ = \{x \in L : x > 1\}$ be the negative and positive parts of L, respectively. Let $FS_L = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^n$ be the free semigroup over L and $\pi : FS_L \to K$ be the homomorphism assigning to each word $x_1 \dots x_n \in FS_L$ the product $x_1 \cdots x_n$ of its letters in K. The homomorphism $\pi : FS_L \to K$ induces a congruence $\sim$ on FS_L which identifies two words $u, v \in FS_L$ iff $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$.

A word $w \in FS_L$ is called *irreducible* if w has the smallest possible length in its equivalence class $[w]_\sim = \{u \in FS_L : u \sim w\}$. Since the set of natural numbers is well-ordered, for each element $x \in K$ there is an irreducible word $w \in FS_L$ such that $x = \pi(w)$. Consequently, the cardinality of K does not exceed the cardinality of the set of irreducible words in FS_L.

Theorem 7.1. *Each irreducible word in* FS_L *is a Kuratowski word. Consequently,* $\pi(\mathcal{K}_L) = K$ *and* $|K| \le |\mathcal{K}_L|$. *If the set* L *is finite, then* $|K| \le |\mathcal{K}_L| = K(n,p)$ *where* $n = |L_-|$ *and* $p = |L_+|$.

Proof. We divide the proof of Theorem 7.1 into a series of lemmas.

Lemma 7.2. *For any elements* $x, y \in L_- \cup \{1\}$ *we get* $xy = \min\{x, y\}$.

Proof. Since L is linearly ordered, either $x \le y$ or $y \le x$.

If $x \le y$, then multiplying this inequality by x, we get $x = xx \le xy$. On the other hand, multiplying the inequality $y \le 1$ by x, we get the reverse inequality $xy \le x1 = x$. Taking into account that $x \le xy \le x$, we conclude that $x = xy$.

If $y \le x$, then multiplying this inequality by y, we get $y = yy \le xy$. On the other hand, multiplying the inequality $x \le 1$ by y, we get $xy \le 1y = y$. Taking into account that $y \le xy \le y$, we conclude that $xy = y = \min\{x, y\}$. □

By analogy we can prove:

Lemma 7.3. *For any elements* $x, y \in L_+ \cup \{1\}$ *we get* $xy = \max\{x, y\}$.

Proof. If $x \le y$, then multiplying this inequality by y, we obtain $xy \le yy = y$. On the other hand, multiplying the inequality $1 \le x$ by y, we get $y = 1y \le xy$. So, $xy = y = \max\{x, y\}$.

If $y \le x$, then after multiplication by x, we obtain $xy \le xx = x$. On the other hand, multiplying the inequality $1 \le y$ by x, we get $x \le xy$ and hence $xy = x = \max\{x, y\}$. □

Recall that a word $x_1 \dots x_n \in FS_L$ is *alternating* if for each natural number i with $1 \le i < n$ the doubleton $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ intersects both sets L_- and L_+. According to this definition, one-letter words also are alternating. Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 imply:

Lemma 7.4. *Each irreducible word $w \in FS_L$ is alternating.*

The following lemma will help us to reduce certain alternating words of length 4.

Lemma 7.5. *If $x_1x_2x_3x_4 \in FS_L$ is an alternating word in the alphabet L such that $x_1x_3 = x_1$ and $x_2x_4 = x_4$ in K, then $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_1x_4$ in K and hence $x_1x_2x_3x_4 \sim x_1x_4$.*

Proof. Two cases are possible.

1) $x_1, x_3 \in L_-$ and $x_2, x_4 \in L_+$. In this case the equalities $x_1x_3 = x_1$ and $x_2x_4 = x_4$ imply that $x_1 \le x_3$ and $x_2 \le x_4$ (see Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3). To see that $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_1x_4$, observe that

$$x_1x_2x_3x_4 \le x_1x_2 \cdot 1 \cdot x_4 = x_1x_2x_4 = x_1x_4.$$

On the other hand,

$$x_1x_4 = (x_1x_3)x_4 = x_1 \cdot 1 \cdot x_3x_4 \le x_1x_2x_3x_4.$$

These two inequalities imply the desired equality $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_1x_4$.

2) $x_1, x_3 \in L_+$ and $x_2, x_4 \in L_-$. In this case the equalities $x_1x_3 = x_1$ and $x_2x_4 = x_4$ imply that $x_1 \ge x_3$ and $x_2 \ge x_4$ (see Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3). To see that $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_1x_4$, observe that

$$x_1x_2x_3x_4 \le x_1 \cdot 1 \cdot x_3x_4 = x_1x_3x_4 = x_1x_4.$$

On the other hand,

$$x_1x_4 = x_1(x_2x_4) = x_1x_2 \cdot 1 \cdot x_4 \le x_1x_2x_3x_4.$$

These two inequalities imply the desired equality $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_1x_4$. □

Now we are able to prove that each irreducible word $w \in FS_L$ is a Kuratowski word. If w consists of a single letter, then it is trivially Kuratowski and we are done. So, we assume that w has length ≥ 2. By Lemma 7.4 the word w is alternating and hence can be written as the product $w = x_k \cdots x_n$ for some $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $n > k$ such that $x_{2i} \in L_-$ for all integer numbers i with $k \le 2i \le n$, and $x_{2i-1} \in L_+$ for all integer numbers i with $k \le 2i - 1 \le n$.

Let m be the smallest number such that $k \le 2m \le n$ and $x_{2m} = \min\{x_{2i} : k \le 2i \le n\}$ in L_-. First we shall analyze the structure of the subword $x_k \cdots x_{2m}$ of the word $w = x_k \dots x_n$.

Lemma 7.6. *The sequence $(x_{2m-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{2m-k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-.*

Proof. Assume conversely that $x_{2i} \ge x_{2i-2}$ for some number $i \le m$ and assume that i is the largest possible number with this property. The definition of the number m guarantees that $i < m$. Consequently, $x_{2i-2} \le x_{2i}$ and $x_{2i} > x_{2i+2}$. Taking into account that $x_{2i-2}, x_{2i}, x_{2i+2} \in L_-$ and applying Lemma 7.2, we get $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = \min\{x_{2i-2}, x_{2i}\} = x_{2i-2}$ and $x_{2i}x_{2i+2} = \min\{x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}\} = x_{2i+2}$.

Now consider the elements $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1} \in L_+$. If $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i+1}$, then $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i+1}$ by Lemma 7.3 and by Lemma 7.5 the alternating word $x_{2i-2}x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i-2}x_{2i+1}$ is reducible as $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = x_{2i-2}$ and $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i+1}$.

If $x_{2i-1} > x_{2i+1}$, then $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i-1}$ by Lemma 7.3. Lemma 7.5 guarantees that the alternating word $x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2} = x_{2i-1}x_{2i+2}$ is reducible as $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i-1}$ and $x_{2i}x_{2i+2} = x_{2i+2}$.

Therefore, the word $x_k \cdots x_n$ contains a reducible subword and hence is reducible, which contradicts the choice of this word. This contradiction shows that $x_{2i-2} < x_{2i}$ and hence $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = \min\{x_{2i-2}, x_{2i}\} = x_{2i-2} \ne x_{2i}$ according to Lemma 7.2. □

Lemma 7.7. *The sequence $(x_{2m-1-2i})_{0 \le i \le \frac{2m-k-1}{2}}$ is strictly decreasing in L_+.*

Proof. Assume conversely that $x_{2i-1} \ge x_{2i+1}$ for some number $i < m$ with $k \le 2i-1$. Since $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1} \in L_+$, Lemma 7.3 implies that $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i-1}$. By Lemma 7.6, $x_{2i}x_{2i+2} = x_{2i+2}$. Then by Lemma 7.5 the alternating word $x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2}$ is equal to $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+2}$. This implies that the word $x_k \cdots x_n$ is reducible, which contradicts the choice of this word. This contradiction shows that $x_{2i-1} < x_{2i+1}$ and hence $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i+1} \ne x_{2i-1}$ according to Lemma 7.3. □

Next, we consider the subword $x_{2m+1} \cdots x_n$ of the word $w = x_k \cdots x_n$.

Lemma 7.8. *The sequence $(x_{2m+2i})_{1 \le i \le \frac{n-2m}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-.*

Proof. Assume conversely that $x_{2i} \ge x_{2i+2}$ for some number $i > m$ with $2i+2 \le n$. We can assume that i is the smallest possible number with this property. Then either $i = m+1$ or else $x_{2i-2} < x_{2i}$. If $i = m+1$, then $x_{2m} = x_{2i-2} \le x_{2i}$ by the choice of m. In both cases we get $x_{2i-2} \le x_{2i}$, which implies $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = \min\{x_{2i-2}, x_{2i}\} = x_{2i-2}$ according to Lemma 7.2. The same Lemma 7.2 implies that $x_{2i}x_{2i+2} = \min\{x_{2i}, x_{2i+2}\} = x_{2i+2}$.

Now consider the elements $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1} \in L_+$. If $x_{2i-1} \ge x_{2i+1}$, then $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i-1}$ and the alternating word $x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2} = x_{2i-1}x_{2i+2}$ is reducible according to Lemma 7.5. If $x_{2i-1} < x_{2i+1}$, then $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i+1}$ and the alternating word $x_{2i-2}x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i-2}x_{2i+1}$ is reducible by Lemma 7.5. But this contradicts the irreducibility of the word $x_k \cdots x_n$. So, $x_{2i} < x_{2i+2}$ and $x_{2i}x_{2i+2} = \min\{x_{2i}x_{2i+2}\} = x_{2i} \ne x_{2i+2}$ according to Lemma 7.2. □

Lemma 7.9. *The sequence* $(x_{2m+1+2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{n-2m-1}{2}}$ *is strictly decreasing in* L_+.

Proof. Assume conversely that $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i+1}$ for some $i > m$ with $2i+1 \le n$. Then $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i+1}$ according to Lemma 7.3. If $i = m+1$, then $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = x_{2m}x_{2i} = \min\{x_{2m}, x_{2i}\} = x_{2m} = x_{2i-2}$ by the choice of the number m. If $i > m+1$, then $x_{2i-2}x_{2i} = x_{2i-2}$ by Lemma 7.8. By Lemma 7.5 the alternating word $x_{2i-2}x_{2i-1}x_{2i}x_{2i+1} = x_{2i-2}x_{2i+1}$ is reducible, which contradicts the irreducibility of the word $x_k \cdots x_n$. This contradiction shows that $x_{2i-1} > x_{2i+1}$ and hence $x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} = \max\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i+1}\} = x_{2i-1} \ne x_{2i+1}$ according to Lemma 7.3. □

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1. Five cases are possible.

1) $2m = n$. In this case $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m}$ is a $V_\pm$-word by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7.

2) $2m+1 = n$ and $k = 2m$. In this case $x_k \dots x_n = x_{2m}x_{2m+1}$ is a $V_\mp$-word.

3) $2m+1 = n$ and $k \le 2m-1$. This case has three subcases.

3a) If $x_{2m-1} < x_{2m+1}$, then $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m-1}x_{2m}x_{2m+1}$ is a $V_\mp$-word by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7.
3b) If $x_{2m-1} > x_{2m+1}$, then $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m-1}x_{2m}x_{2m+1}$ is a $V_\pm$-word by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7.
3c) If $x_{2m-1} = x_{2m+1}$, then $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m-1}x_{2m}x_{2m+1}$ is a W_+-word by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7.

4) $2m+2 \le n$ and $k = 2m$. Since $x_{2m} \le x_{2m+2}$, this case has two subcases.

4a) If $x_{2m} < x_{2m+2}$, then $x_k \dots x_n = x_{2m}x_{2m+1}x_{2m+2} \dots x_n$ is a $V_\mp$-word by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9.
4b) If $x_{2m} = x_{2m+2}$, then $x_k \dots x_n = x_{2m}x_{2m+1}x_{2m+2} \dots x_n$ is a W_--word by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9.

5) $2m+2 \le n$ and $k \le 2m-1$. This case has four subcases.

5a) $x_{2m} < x_{2m+2}$ and $x_{2m-1} < x_{2m+1}$. In this case $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m}x_{2m+1} \dots x_n$ is a $V_\mp$-word by Lemmas 7.6—7.9.

5b) $x_{2m} < x_{2m+2}$ and $x_{2m-1} > x_{2m+1}$. In this case $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m-1}x_{2m} \dots x_n$ is a $V_\pm$-word by Lemmas 7.6—7.9.

5b) $x_{2m} < x_{2m+2}$ and $x_{2m-1} = x_{2m+1}$. In this case $x_k \dots x_{2m-1}x_{2m}x_{2m-1} \dots x_n$ is a W_+-word by Lemmas 7.6–7.9.

5c) $x_{2m} = x_{2m+2}$. In this case we shall prove that $x_{2m-1} > x_{2m+1}$. Assuming that $x_{2m-1} \le x_{2m+1}$ we can apply Lemma 7.3 to conclude that $x_{2m-1}x_{2m+1} = \max\{x_{2m-1}, x_{2m+1}\} = x_{2m-1}$. It follows from $x_{2m} = x_{2m+2}$ that $x_{2m}x_{2m+2} = x_{2m+2}$. By Lemma 7.5, the alternating word $x_{2m-1}x_{2m}x_{2m+1}x_{2m+2}$ is reducible, which is a contradiction. So, $x_{2m-1} > x_{2m+1}$ and hence $x_k \dots x_n = x_k \dots x_{2m}x_{2m+1}x_{2m+2} \dots x_n$ is a W_--word by Lemmas 7.6–7.9.

Therefore each irreducible word in FS_L is a Kuratowski word, which implies that $|K| \le |\mathcal{K}_L|$. If the set L is finite, then the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ of Kuratowski words over L has cardinality $|\mathcal{K}_L| = K(|L_-|, |L_+|)$, see Theorem 5.2. □

8. Separation of Kuratowski words by homomorphisms

In the preceding section we proved that any element of a Kuratowski monoid K with a linear generating set L can be represented by a Kuratowski word $w \in \mathcal{K}_L$. In this section we shall prove that Kuratowski words can be separated by homomorphisms into the Kuratowski monoids of suitable 2-topological spaces.

Given an n-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(X, (\tau_i)_{i\in n}\big)$, observe that the linear generating set

$$L(\mathbf{X}) = \{\breve{\tau}_i\}_{i\in n} \cup \{1_X\} \cup \{\bar{\tau}_i\}_{i\in n}$$

of its Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ is symmetric.

This observation motivates the following definition. A $*$-*linearly ordered set* is a linearly ordered set L endowed with an involutive bijection $* : L \to L$, $* : \ell \mapsto \ell^*$, that has a unique fixed point $1 \in L$ and is *decreasing* in the sense that for any elements $x < y$ in L we get $x^* > y^*$. Each $*$-linearly ordered set L is pointed – the unit of L is the unique fixed point of the involution $* : L \to L$. Observe that the structure of a $*$-linearly ordered set L is determined by the structure of its negative part L_-.

A map $f : L \to \Lambda$ between two $*$-linearly ordered sets L, Λ will be called a *$*$-morphism* if f is *monotone* (in the sense that for any elements $x \le y$ of L we get $f(x) \le f(y)$) and *preserves the involution* (in the sense that $f(x^*) = f(x)^*$ for every $x \in L$. Since $f(1) = f(1^*) = f(1)^*$, the image $f(1)$ of the unit of L coincides with the unit of Λ). Observe that each $*$-morphism $f : L \to \Lambda$ is uniquely determined by its restriction $f|L_-$.

For a $*$-linearly ordered set L, the involution $* : L \to L$ of L has a unique extension to an involutive semigroup isomorphism $* : FS_L \to FS_L$ of the free semigroup over L. The image of a word $w \in FS_L$ under this involutive isomorphism will be denoted by w^*.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ be a polytopological space and

$$L(\mathbf{X}) = \{\breve{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \{1_X\} \cup \{\bar{\tau} : \tau \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

be the linear generating set of the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of $\mathbf{X}$. Observe that each topology τ is determined by its interior operator $\breve{\tau}$ (since $\tau = \{\breve{\tau}(A) : A \subset X\}$). This implies that the interior operators $\breve{\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, are pairwise distinct. The same is true for the closure operators $\bar{\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. This allows us to define a bijective involution $* : L(\mathbf{X}) \to L(\mathbf{X})$ letting $\breve{\tau}^* = \bar{\tau}$ and $\bar{\tau}^* = \breve{\tau}$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. This involution turns $L(\mathbf{X})$ into a $*$-linearly ordered set.

Let L be a $*$-linearly ordered set. Choose any point $c \notin L$ and consider the free semigroup $FS_{L\cup\{c\}}$ over the set $L \cup \{c\}$. This semigroup consists of words in the alphabet $L \cup \{c\}$. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{T})$ be a polytopological space and $L(\mathbf{X})$ be the linear generating set of the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of $\mathbf{X}$. Let $c_X : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$, $c_X : A \mapsto X \setminus A$, denote the operator of taking complement.

Given any $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ let $\hat{f} : FS_{L\cup\{c\}} \to \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ be a (unique) semigroup homomorphism such that $\hat{f}(1) = 1_X$, $\hat{f}(c) = c_X$, and $\hat{f}(\ell) = f(\ell)$ for $\ell \in L$. The homomorphism $\hat{f}$ will be called the *Kuratowski extension* of f.

Observe that $\hat{f}(\mathcal{K}_L) \subset \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$. In the semigroup $FS_{L\cup\{c\}}$ consider the subset

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L = \mathcal{K}_L \cup \{cw : w \in \mathcal{K}_L\} \subset FS_{L\cup\{c\}}$$

whose elements will be called *full Kuratowski words.*

Theorem 8.1. *For any $*$-linearly ordered set L and any two distinct words $u, v \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L$ there is a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$, and a $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ whose Kuratowski extension $\hat{f} : FS_{L\cup\{c\}} \to \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ separates the words u, v in the sense that $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$.*

Proof. In most of cases the underlying set of the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ will be a set $X = \{x, y\}$ containing two pairwise distinct points x, y and the topologies of $\mathbf{X}$ are equal to one of four possible topologies on X:

- $\tau_d = \big\{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{y\}, X\big\}$, the discrete topology on X;
- $\tau_a = \big\{\emptyset, X\big\}$, the anti-discrete topology on X;
- $\tau_x = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, X\}$;
- $\tau_y = \{\emptyset, \{y\}, X\}$.

Fix any two distinct words $u, v \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L$ and consider four cases.

1) $u \in \mathcal{K}_L$ and $v \notin \mathcal{K}_L$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(X, (\tau_a, \tau_d)\big)$. Then for the $*$-morphism $f : L \to \{1_X\} \subset L(\mathbf{X})$ we get $\hat{f}(u) = 1_X \ne c_X = \hat{f}(v)$.

2) $v \in \mathcal{K}_L$ and $u \notin \mathcal{K}_L$. In this case take the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ from the preceding case and observe that for the $*$-morphism $f : L \to \{1_X\} \subset L(\mathbf{X})$ we get $\hat{f}(u) = c_X \ne 1_X = \hat{f}(v)$.

3) $u, v \in \mathcal{K}_L$. Denote by $u_0, v_0 \in L$ the last letters of the words u, v, respectively. Consider two cases.

3a) $u_0 \ne v_0$. We lose no generality assuming that $u_0 < v_0$ (in the linearly ordered set L).

Five subcases are possible:

3aa) $u_0 = 1$ and $v_0 \in L_+$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(\{x, y\}, (\tau_a, \tau_d)\big)$ and the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to each $\ell \in L_-$ the operator $\breve{\tau}_a$. Then for the subset $A = \{x\}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ and the operators $\hat{u} = \hat{f}(u)$ and $\hat{v} = \hat{f}(v)$, we get $\hat{u}(A) = A \ne X = \bar{\tau}_a(A) = \hat{v}(A)$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$.

3ab) $u_0 \in L_-$ and $v_0 = 1$. In this case, take the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$, the subset $A = \{x\}$, and the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ from the preceding case. Then $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset \ne A = \hat{v}(A)$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$.

3ac) $u_0 \in L_-$ and $v_0 \in L_+$. In this case, take the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$, the subset $A = \{x\}$ and the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ from case (3aa). Then $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset \neq X = \hat{v}(A)$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u) \neq \hat{f}(v)$.

3ad) $u_0, v_0 \in L_-$. Consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(\{x, y\}, (\tau_a, \tau_x)\big)$ and the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to each $\ell \in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell) = \begin{cases} \breve{\tau}_a & \text{if } \ell \leq u_0; \\ \breve{\tau}_x & \text{if } \ell > u_0. \end{cases}$$

Put $\hat{u} = \hat{f}(u)$ and $\hat{v} = \hat{f}(v)$. Observe that for the subset $A = \{x\}$ we get $\hat{u}_0(A) = \breve{\tau}_a(A) = \emptyset$ and hence $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset$. Next, we evaluate $\hat{v}(A)$. Write the Kuratowski word v as $v = v_q \dots v_0$ where $v_0, \dots, v_q \in L \setminus \{1\}$. If $q = 0$, then $\hat{v}(A) = \hat{v}_0(A) = \breve{\tau}_x(A) = A \neq \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$. If $q > 0$, then $\hat{v}_1\hat{v}_0(A) = \hat{v}_1(A) \in \{\bar{\tau}_a(A), \bar{\tau}_x(A)\} = \{X\}$ and hence $\hat{v}(A) = X \neq \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$. This yields the desired inequality $\hat{f}(u) \neq \hat{f}(v)$.

3ae) $u_0, v_0 \in L_+$. In this case we can consider the conjugated words u^* and v^* and observe that their last letters are distinct and belong to the set L_-. By the preceding item, there are a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ and a $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\hat{f}(u^*) \neq \hat{f}(v^*)$. Then $\hat{f}(u)^* = \hat{f}(u^*) \neq \hat{f}(v^*) = \hat{f}(v)^*$ and hence $\hat{f}(u) \neq \hat{f}(v)$.

Next, consider the case:

3b) $u_0 = v_0$. It follows that $u_0 = v_0 \in L \setminus \{1\}$. Write the Kuratowski words u, v as $u = u_p \dots u_0$ and $v = v_q \dots v_0$ where $u_i, v_i \in L \setminus \{1\}$. For the sake of consistency it will be convenient to assume that $u_i = 1$ for every integer $i \notin \{0, \dots, p\}$ and $v_j = 1$ for avery integer $j \notin \{0, \dots, q\}$. Un particular, $u_{-1} = v_{-1} = 1$.

Since $u \neq v$, the number $k = \min\{i \geq 0 : u_i \neq v_i\}$ is well-defined and does not exceed $\max\{p, q\}$. It follows from $u_0 = v_0$ that $k \geq 1$. By the definition of k we also get the equality $u_{k-1} \dots u_0 = v_{k-1} \dots v_0$. First we consider the case $u_{k-1} = v_{k-1} \in L_+$. Since $u_k \neq v_k$, we lose no generality assuming that $u_k < v_k$. Since u, v are alternating words, the inclusion $u_{k-1} = v_{k-1} \in L_+$ implies $u_k, v_k \in L_- \cup \{1\}$ and $u_k \in L_-$.

Two cases are possible.

3ba) $u_k < u_{k-2}$ (this case includes also the case of $k = 1$ in which $u_1 < 1 = u_{-1}$). Since $u \in \mathcal{K}_L$, the inequality $u_k < u_{k-2}$ implies that the sequence $(u_{k-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_- and the sequence $(u_{k-1-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}} = (v_{k-1-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}}$ is a strictly decreasing in L_+.

Now consider two subcases.

3baa) $v_{k+1} > v_{k-1}$. In this case $(v^*_{k+1-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}}$ is a strictly increasing sequence in L_-. Depending on the relation between the elements u_k and v^*_{k+1} of L_- we shall distinguish two subsubcases.

3baaa) $v^*_{k+1} \leq u_k$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(X, (\tau_a, \tau_y)\big)$ where $X = \{x, y\}$ and define the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to each $\ell \in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell) = \begin{cases} \breve{\tau}_a & \text{if } \ell \leq v^*_{k+1}; \\ \breve{\tau}_y & \text{if } v^*_{k+1} < \ell \leq u_k; \\ 1_X & \text{if } u_k < \ell. \end{cases}$$

Consider the subset $A = \{x\} \subset X$. Since the sequence $(u_{k-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-, for every positive number $i \leq \frac{k}{2}$ we get $v_{k-2i} = u_{k-2i} > u_k$ and hence $\hat{u}_{k-2i} = \hat{v}_{k-2i} = 1_X$, which implies that $\hat{u}_{k-2i}(A) = \hat{v}_{k-2i}(A) = A$. On the other hand, for every positive $i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$ we get $u^*_{k+1-2i} = v^*_{k+1-2i} > v^*_{k+1}$ and hence $\hat{u}_{k+1-2i} = \hat{v}_{k+1-2i} \in \{\bar{\tau}_y, 1_X\}$, which implies $\hat{u}_{k+1-2i}(A) = \hat{v}_{k+1-2i}(A) \in \{\bar{\tau}_y(A), 1_X(A)\} = \{A\}$. So, $\hat{u}_{k-1} \cdots \hat{u}_0(A) = \hat{v}_{k-1} \cdots \hat{v}_0(A) = A$.

Observe that $\hat{u}_k \cdots \hat{u}_1(A) = \hat{u}_k(A) = \breve{\tau}_y(A) = \emptyset$ and hence $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, the inequality $v_k > u_k$ implies that $\hat{v}_k = 1_X$ and then $\hat{v}_k \cdots \hat{v}_0(A) = \hat{v}_k(A) = A$ and $\hat{v}_{k+1} \cdots \hat{v}_0(A) = \hat{v}_{k+1}(A) = \bar{\tau}_a(A) = X$ and then $\hat{v}(A) = X \neq \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u) \neq \hat{f}(v)$.

3baab) $v^*_{k+1} > u_k$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(X, (\tau_a, \tau_x)\big)$ where $X = \{x, y\}$ and define a $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to each $\ell \in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell) = \begin{cases} \breve{\tau}_a & \text{if } \ell \leq u_k; \\ \breve{\tau}_x & \text{if } u_k < \ell \leq v^*_{k+1}; \\ 1_X & \text{if } v^*_{k+1} < \ell. \end{cases}$$

Consider the subset $A = \{x\} \subset X$. Since the sequence $(u_{k-2i})_{0 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-, for every positive $i \leq \frac{k}{2}$ we get $v_{k-2i} = u_{k-2i} > u_k$ and hence $\hat{u}_{k-2i} = \hat{v}_{k-2i} \in \{\breve{\tau}_x, 1_X\}$, which implies that $\hat{u}_{k-2i}(A) =$

$\hat{v}_{k-2i}(A) \in \{\breve{\tau}_x(A), 1_X(A)\} = \{A\}$. Since the sequence $(v^*_{k+1-2i})_{0\le i\le \frac{k+1}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-, for every positive $i \le \frac{k+1}{2}$ we get $u^*_{k+1-2i} = v^*_{k+1-2i} > v^*_{k+1}$ and hence $\hat{u}_{k+1-2i} = \hat{v}_{k+1-2i} = 1_X$, which implies $\hat{u}_{k+1-2i}(A) = \hat{v}_{k+1-2i}(A) = A$. So, $\hat{u}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{u}_0(A) = \hat{v}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{v}_0(A) = A$.

Observe that $\hat{u}_k\cdots\hat{u}_1(A) = \hat{u}_k(A) = \breve{\tau}_a(A) = \emptyset$ and hence $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset$. Next, we evaluate $\hat{v}(A)$. If $v_k = 1$, then $\hat{v}(A) = \hat{v}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{v}_0(A) = A \ne \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$. If $v_k \ne 1$ but $v_{k+1} = 1$, then $u_k < v_k$ implies that $\hat{v}_k \in \{\breve{\tau}_x, 1_X\}$ and hence $\hat{v}(A) = \hat{v}_k\cdots\hat{v}_0(A) = \hat{v}_k(A) \in \{\breve{\tau}_x(A), 1_X(A)\} = \{A\}$ and hence $\hat{v}(A) = A \ne \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$. If $v_{k+1} \ne 1$, then $\hat{v}_{k+1}\cdots\hat{v}_1(A) = \hat{v}_{k+1}(A) = \bar{\tau}_x(A) = X$ and then $\hat{v}(A) = X \ne \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$. This yields the desired inequality $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$.

Next, consider the subcase:

3bab) $v_{k+1} = v_{k-1}$. In this case $v \in \mathcal{W}_+$ and hence the sequences $(v_{k-2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{k}{2}}$ and $(v_{k+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k}{2}}$ are strictly increasing in L_- whereas the sequences $(v_{k-1-2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{k-1}{2}}$ and $(v_{k+1+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k-1}{2}}$ are strictly decreasing in L_+. Consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(\{x,y\}, (\tau_a, \tau_y)\big)$ and define a $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to each element $\ell \in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell) = \begin{cases} \breve{\tau}_y & \text{if } \ell \le u_k; \\ 1_X & \text{if } \ell > u_k. \end{cases}$$

Also consider the subset $A = \{x\}$ in the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$.

Taking into account that $u_k < v_k \le v_{k+2i}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \le k+2i \le q$, we conclude that $\hat{v}_{k+2i} = 1_X$. On the other hand, for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \le k+1+2i \le q$ we get $\hat{v}_{k+1+2i} \in \{\bar{\tau}_y, 1_X\}$ and hence $\hat{v}_{k+1+2i}(A) \in \{\bar{\tau}_y(A), \bar{\tau}_d(A)\} = \{A\}$. This implies that $\hat{v}(A) = A$.

On the other hand, $\hat{u}_k\cdots\hat{u}_0(A) = \hat{u}_k\hat{v}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{v}_0(A) = \hat{u}_k(A) = \breve{\tau}_y(A) = \emptyset$ and then $\hat{u}(A) = \emptyset \ne A = \hat{v}(A)$. This implies that $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$.

Finally, consider the subcase:

3bac) $v_{k+1} < v_{k-1}$. Taking into account that $v \in \mathcal{K}_L$ and the sequence $(v_{k-1-2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{k-1}{2}}$ is strictly decreasing in L_+, we conclude that $v_{k-1} > v_{k-1+2i}$ for any non-zero integer number i with $0 \le k-1+2i \le q$ and $u_k < \min\{u_{k-2}, v_k\} = \min\{v_{k-2}, v_k\} \le v_{k+2i}$ for any integer number i with $k+2i \in \{0,\dots,q\}$. Take the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$, the subset $A = \{x\}$, and the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ from the case (3bab). By analogy with the preceding case it can be shown that $\hat{v}(A) = A \ne \emptyset = \hat{u}(A)$ and hence $\hat{f}(u) \ne \hat{f}(v)$. This completes the proof of case (3ba).

So, now we consider the case:

3bb) $u_k \ge u_{k-2}$. This case is more difficult and requires to consider a set $X = \{x,y,z\}$ of cardinality $|X| = 3$ endowed with the topologies:

- $\tau_{x,z} = \big\{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{z\}, \{x,z\}, X\big\}$,
- $\tau_{x,z,yz} = \big\{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{z\}, \{y,z\}, \{x,z\}, X\big\}$,
- $\tau_{x,z,xy} = \big\{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{z\}, \{x,y\}, \{x,z\}, X\big\}$.

In X consider the subset $A = \{x\}$.

The inequality $u_{k-2} \le u_k \in L_-$ and the inclusion $u \in \mathcal{K}_L$ imply that the sequence $\big(u_{k-1+2i}\big)_{0\le i\le\frac{p-k+1}{2}}$ is strictly decreasing in L_+. By analogy, the (strict) inequality $v_{k-2} = u_{k-2} \le u_k < v_k$ implies that sequence $\big(v_{k-2+2i}\big)_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k+2}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_- and $\big(v_{k-1+2i}\big)_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k+1}{2}}$ in strictly decreasing in L_+. Let u^*_{k-1} be the letter in L_-, symmetric to the letter u_{k-1} with respect to 1. Depending on the relation between u^*_{k-1} and u_k we consider two subcases:

3bba) $u^*_{k-1} \le u_k$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X} = \big(X, (\tau_{x,z}, \tau_{x,z,yz})\big)$ where $X = \{x,y,z\}$ and define the $*$-morphism $f : L \to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to every element $\ell \in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell) = \hat{\ell} := \begin{cases} \breve{\tau}_{x,z} & \text{if } \ell \le u^*_{k-1}; \\ \breve{\tau}_{x,z,yz} & \text{if } u^*_{k-1} < \ell \le u_k; \\ 1_X & \text{if } u_k < \ell. \end{cases}$$

Consider the subset $A = \{x\}$ of X. Observe that for every $\ell \in L$ we get $\{x\} \subset \hat{\ell}(\{x\}) \subset \hat{\ell}(\{x,y\}) \subset \{x,y\}$. Then

$$\{x\} \subset \hat{u}_{k-2}\hat{u}_{k-3}\cdots\hat{u}_0(A) \subset \{x,y\}$$

and

$$\{x,y\} = \bar{\tau}_{x,z}(\{x\}) = \hat{u}_{k-1}(\{x\}) \subset \hat{u}_{k-1}\hat{u}_{k-2}\cdots\hat{u}_0(A) \subset \hat{u}_{k-1}(\{x,y\}) = \bar{\tau}_{x,z}(\{x,y\}) = \{x,y\}.$$

So, $\hat{v}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{v}_0(A)=\hat{u}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{u}_0(A)=\{x,y\}$ and $\hat{u}_k\cdots\hat{u}_1(A)=\hat{u}_k(\{x,y\})=\breve{\tau}_{x,z,yz}(\{x,y\})=\{x\}=A$.

Since the sequence $(u_{k-1+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{p-k+1}{2}}$ is strictly decreasing in L_+, the dual sequence $(u^*_{k-1+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{p-k+1}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-. Then $\{\hat{u}_{k-1+2i}\}_{1\le i\le\frac{p-k-1}{2}}\subset\{\bar{\tau}_{x,z,yz},1_X\}$ and then $\hat{u}(A)=\hat{u}_p\cdots\hat{u}_{k+1}(A)\subset A$.

On the other hand, $v_k>u_k$ implies that $\hat{v}_k\cdots\hat{v}_1(A)=\hat{v}_k(\{x,y\})=1_X(\{x,y\})=\{x,y\}$. Taking into account that $u_k<v_k$ and the sequence $(v_{k+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-, we conclude that $\{v_{k+2i}\}_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k}{2}}\subset\{1_X\}$, which implies that $\hat{v}(A)=\hat{v}_k\cdots\hat{v}_0(A)\supset\{x,y\}\ne\{x\}\supset\hat{u}(A)$. This yields the desired inequality $\hat{f}(u)\ne\hat{f}(v)$.

3bbb) $u_k<u^*_{k-1}$. In this case consider the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}=\big(X,(\tau_{x,z},\tau_{x,z,xy})\big)$ where $X=\{x,y,z\}$. Define a $*$-morphism $f:L\to L(\mathbf{X})$ assigning to every element $\ell\in L_-$ the operator

$$f(\ell)=\begin{cases}\breve{\tau}_{x,z} & \text{if } \ell\le u_k;\\ \breve{\tau}_{x,z,xy} & \text{if } u_k<\ell\le u^*_{k-1};\\ 1_X & \text{if } u^*_{k-1}<\ell.\end{cases}$$

Consider the subset $A=\{x\}$ of X. It follows that

$$\hat{u}_{k-1}\cdots\hat{u}_0(A)\in\{\hat{u}_{k-1}(\{x\}),\hat{u}_{k-1}(\{x,y\})\}=\{\bar{\tau}_{x,z,xy}(\{x\}),\bar{\tau}_{x,z,xy}(\{x,y\})\}=\big\{\{x,y\}\big\}$$

and hence $\hat{u}_k\cdots\hat{u}_0(A)=\hat{u}_k(\{x,y\})=\breve{\tau}_{x,z}(\{x,y\})=\{x\}$. Taking into account that the sequence $(u^*_{k-1+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{p-k+1}{2}}$ is strictly increasing in L_-, we conclude that $\hat{u}_{k-1+2i}=1_X$ for all positive $i\le\frac{p-k+1}{2}$. This implies that $\hat{u}(A)=\hat{u}_p\cdots\hat{u}_{k+1}(A)\subset A=\{x\}$.

On the other hand, $\hat{v}_k\cdots\hat{v}_0(A)=\hat{v}_k(\{x,y\})\in\{\breve{\tau}_{x,z,xy}(\{x,y\}),1_X(\{x,y\})\}=\big\{\{x,y\}\big\}$. Taking into account that $u_k<v_k$ and the sequence $(v_{k+2i})_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k}{2}}$ is strictly increasing, we conclude that $\{\hat{v}_{k+2i}\}_{0\le i\le\frac{q-k}{2}}\subset\{\breve{\tau}_{x,z,xy},1_X\}$, which implies that $\hat{v}(A)=\hat{v}_q\cdots\hat{v}_{k+1}(\{x,y\})\supset\{x,y\}$. So, $\hat{u}(A)\ne\hat{v}(A)$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u)\ne\hat{f}(v)$.

This completes the proof of case (3) under the assumption $u_{k-1}=v_{k-1}\in L_+$. If $u_{k-1}=v_{k-1}\in L_-$, then we can consider the dual words $u^*=u^*_p\cdots u^*_0$ and $v^*=v^*_q\cdots v^*_0$. For these dual words we get $u^*_i=v^*_i$ for $i<k$ and $v^*_{k-1}=u^*_{k-1}\in L_+$. In this case the preceding proof yields an 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ and a $*$-morphism $f:L\to L(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\hat{f}(u)^*=\hat{f}(u^*)\ne\hat{f}(v^*)=\hat{f}(v)^*$, which implies that $\hat{f}(u)\ne\hat{f}(v)$.

Finally, consider the case:

4) $u,v\in\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L\setminus\mathcal{K}_L$. Then $u=cu'$ and $v=cv'$ for some distinct words $u',v'\in\mathcal{K}_L$. By the case (3), we can find a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ and a $*$-morphism $f:L\to L(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\hat{f}(u')\ne\hat{f}(v')$. This means that $\hat{f}(u')(A)\ne\hat{f}(v')(A)$ for some subset $A\subset X$. Then

$$\hat{f}(u)(A)=\hat{f}(cu')(A)=\hat{f}(c)\hat{f}(u')(A)=c_X(\hat{f}(u')(A))\ne c_X(\hat{f}(v')(A))=\hat{f}(cv')(A)=\hat{f}(v)(A),$$

which means that $\hat{f}(u)\ne\hat{f}(v)$. □

The following corollary of Theorem 8.1 implies Theorem 1.2 and shows that the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 is exact.

Corollary 8.2. *For any $*$-linearly ordered set L there is an L_--topological space $\mathbf{X}=\big(X,(\tau_\ell)_{\ell\in L_-}\big)$ such that the unique semigroup homomorphism $\pi:FS_{L\cup\{c\}}\to\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\pi(1)=1_X$, $\pi(c)=c_X$, $\pi(\ell)=\breve{\tau}_\ell$, $\pi(\ell^*)=\bar{\tau}_\ell$ for $\ell\in L_-$ maps bijectively the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ onto $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ and the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L$ onto $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$. If the set L_- has finite cardinality n, then the Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ of $\mathbf{X}$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})|=K(n,n)=K(n)$ and the full Kuratowski monoid $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ of $\mathbf{X}$ has cardinality $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})|=2\cdot K(n,n)=2K(n)$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^2_L\setminus\Delta=\{(u,v)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L\times\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L:u\ne v\}$. By Theorem 8.1, for any distinct words $u,v\in\widetilde{K}_L$ there exist a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}_{u,v}=(X_{u,v},(\tau'_{u,v},\tau''_{u,v}))$ and a $*$-morphism $f_{u,v}:L\to L(\mathbf{X})$ whose Kuratowski extension $\hat{f}_{u,v}:FS_{L\cup\{c\}}\to\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X}_{u,v})$ separates the words u,v in the sense that $\hat{f}(u)\ne\hat{f}(v)$. This means that $\hat{f}_{u,v}(u)(A_{u,v})\ne\hat{f}_{u,v}(v)(A_{u,v})$ for some subset $A_{u,v}\subset X_{u,v}$. Let $\delta_{u,v}$ denote the discrete topology on the set $X_{u,v}$.

Define the L_--topology $\tau_{u,v}:L_-\to\mathsf{Top}(X_{u,v})$ on $X_{u,v}$ by the formula

$$\tau_{u,v}(\ell)=\begin{cases}\tau'_{u,v} & \text{if } f(\ell)=\breve{\tau}'_{u,v};\\ \tau''_{u,v} & \text{if } f(\ell)=\breve{\tau}''_{u,v};\\ \delta_{u,v} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Consider the L_--topological space $\mathbf{X}^-_{u,v} = (X_{u,v}, \tau_{u,v})$ and observe that its full Kuratowski monoid coincides with the full Kuratowski monoid of the 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}_{u,v}$. Moreover, the Kuratowski extension $\hat f_{u,v} : FS_{L\cup\{c\}} \to \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X}_{u,v}) = \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X}^-_{u,v})$ of the morphism $f_{u,v}$ has the properties $\hat f_{u,v}(1) = 1_{X_{u,v}}$, $\hat f_{u,v}(c) = c_{X_{u,v}}$, $\hat f_{u,v}(\ell) = \check\tau_{u,v}(\ell)$, and $\hat f(\ell^*) = \bar\tau_{u,v}(\ell)$ for $\ell \in L_-$.

We lose no generality assuming that for any distinct pairs $(u,v), (u',v') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^2_L \setminus \Delta$ the sets $X_{u,v}$ and $X_{u',v'}$ are disjoint. This allows us to consider the disjoint union

$$X = \bigcup \{X_{u,v} : (u,v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^2_L \setminus \Delta\}$$

and the subset

$$A = \bigcup \{A_{u,v} : (u,v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^2_L \setminus \Delta\}$$

in X. For every $\ell \in L_-$ consider the topology τ_ℓ on the set X generated by the base $\bigcup\{\tau_{u,v}(\ell) : (u,v) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^2_L \setminus \Delta\}$. We claim that the L_--topological space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau)$ (which is the direct sum of L_--topological spaces $\mathbf{X}^-_{u,v}$) and the subset $A \subset X$ have the desired property: for any two distinct words $u, v \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L$ we get $\hat u(A) \ne \hat v(A)$, where $\hat u$ and $\hat v$ are the images of u and v under the (unique) semigroup homomorphism $\pi : FS_{L\cup\{c\}}) \to \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\pi(1) = 1_X$, $\pi(c) = c_X$, $\pi(\ell) = \check\tau_\ell$, $\pi(\ell^*) = \bar\tau_\ell$ for $\ell \in L_-$. This follows from the fact that $\hat u(A) \cap X_{u,v} = \hat f_{u,v}(u)(A_{u,v}) \ne \hat f_{u,v}(v)(A_{u,v}) = \hat v(A) \cap X_{u,v}$.

This means that the restriction $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L \to \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$ is injective. By Theorem 7.1, $\pi(\mathcal{K}_L) = \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$. Since $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X}) = \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X}) \cup \{c_X \circ w : w \in \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})\}$, we get also that $\pi(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L) = \mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$. This means that the homomorphism π maps bijectively the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ onto $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ and the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L$ onto $\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})$.

If the set L_- has finite cardinality n, then the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ has cardinality $|\mathcal{K}_L| = K(n,n) = K(n)$ (according to Theorem 5.2) and hence $|\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})| = |\mathcal{K}_L| = K(n,n)$ and $|\mathsf{K}_2(\mathbf{X})| = |\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_L| = 2 \cdot K(n,n) = 2 \cdot K(n)$. □

9. Free Kuratowski monoids

In this section we shall discuss free Kuratowski monoids over pointed linearly ordered sets. By a *pointed linearly ordered set* we understand a linearly ordered set $(L, \le)$ with a distinguished point $1 \in L$ called the *unit* of L. The subsets $L_- = \{x \in L : x < 1\}$ and $L_+ = \{x \in L : 1 < x\}$ are called the *negative* and *positive* parts of L, respectively. A function $f : L \to \Lambda$ between two pointed linearly ordered sets is called a *morphism* if $f(1) = 1$ and f is monotone in the sense that $f(x) \le f(y)$ for any elements $x \le y$ of L.

Each pointed linearly ordered set L determines the *free Kuratowski monoid* FK_L defined as follows. On the free semigroup $FS_L = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty L^n$ consider the smallest compatible partial preorder $\preceq$ extending the linear order $\le$ of the set $L = L^1 \subset FS_L$ and containing the pairs $(x, x1)$, $(x, 1x)$, $(x1, x)$, $(1x, x)$, (x, x^2), and (x^2, x) for $x \in L$. The compatible partial preorder $\preceq$ generates the congruence $\rho_\preceq = \{(v,w) \in FS_L : v \preceq w,\ w \preceq v\}$ on FS_L identifying the words $x, x1, 1x, x^2$ for any $x \in L$. The quotient semigroup $FK_L = FS_L/\rho_\preceq$ endowed with the quotient partial order is called the *free Kuratowski monoid* generated by the pointed linearly ordered set L. By $q_L : FS_L \to FK_L$ we shall denote the (monotone) quotient homomorphism. The restriction $\eta_L = q_L|L : L \to FK_L$ is called the canonical embedding of the pointed linearly ordered set L into its free Kuratowski monoid. In Proposition 9.2 we shall see that η is indeed injective.

First we show that the free Kuratowski monoid FK_L is free in the categorial sense.

Proposition 9.1. *For any pointed linearly ordered set L and any Kuratowski monoid K with a linear generating set Λ any morphism $f : L \to \Lambda$ determines a unique monotone semigroup homomorphism $\bar f : FK_L \to K$ such that $\bar f \circ \eta = f$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde f : FS_L \to K$ be the unique semigroup homomorphism extending the morphism $f : L \to \Lambda \subset K$. The partial order $\le$ of the Kuratowski monoid K induces the compatible partial preorder $\leqslant$ on FS_L defined by $u \leqslant v$ iff $\tilde f(u) \le \tilde f(v)$. The monotonicity of f implies that the partial preorder $\leqslant$ contains the linear order of the set L. Then the minimality of the partial preorder $\preceq$ implies that $\preceq \subset \leqslant$. This allows us to find a unique monotone semigroup homomorphism $\bar f : FK_L \to K$ such that $\bar f \circ q_L = \tilde f$ and hence $\bar f \circ \eta_L = \bar f \circ q_L|L = \tilde f|L = f$. □

Proposition 9.2. *For any pointed linearly ordered set L the quotient homomorphism $q_L : FS_L \to FK_L$ maps bijectively the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ of Kuratowski words onto FK_L.*

Proof. Theorem 7.1 implies that $q_L(\mathcal{K}_L) = FK_L$. To show that $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L$ is injective, we shall apply Theorem 8.2. Choose any injective morphism $e : L \to L^*$ of the pointed linearly ordered set L into a $*$-linearly ordered set

L^*. Let $\tilde{e} : FS_L \to FS_{L^*}$ be the unique semigroup homomorphism extending the map e. The injectivity of e implies the injectivity of the homomorphism $\tilde{e}$.

By Proposition 9.1, the morphism e determines a unique monotone semigroup homomorphism $\bar{e} : FK_L \to FK_{L^*}$ such that $\bar{e} \circ \eta_L = \eta_{L^*} \circ e$. By Theorem 8.2, there exists a polytopological space $\mathbf{X}$ and a $*$-morphism $f : L^* \to L(\mathbf{X})$ whose Kuratowski extension $\hat{f} : FS_{L^*} \to \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ maps bijectively the set $\mathcal{K}_{L^*}$ onto $\mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$. By Proposition 9.1, the $*$-morphism $f : L^* \to L(\mathbf{X}) \subset \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ determines a (unique) monotone semigroup homomorphism $\bar{f} : FS_{L^*} \to \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\bar{f} \circ \eta_{L^*} = f$. Thus we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \arrow[r, "e"] \arrow[d] & L^* \arrow[d] & \\
\mathcal{K}_L \arrow[r, "\tilde{e}|\mathcal{K}_L"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{K}_{L^*} \arrow[d] & \\
FS_L \arrow[r, "\tilde{e}"] \arrow[d, "q_L"'] & FS_{L^*} \arrow[d, "q_{L^*}"] \arrow[dr, "\hat{f}"] & \\
FK_L \arrow[r, "\bar{e}"'] & FK_{L^*} \arrow[r, "\bar{f}"'] & \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the map $\hat{f} \circ \tilde{e}|\mathcal{K}_L$ is injective. Since $\hat{f} \circ \tilde{e} = \bar{f} \circ \bar{e} \circ q_L$, the injectivity of the map $\hat{f} \circ \tilde{e}|\mathcal{K}_L$ implies the injectivity of the map $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L$. Since $q_L(\mathcal{K}_L) = FK_L$, the restriction $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L : \mathcal{K}_L \to FK_L$ is bijective. □

Now we prove that the congruence $\rho_\preceq$ on FS_L determining the free Kuratowski monoid can be equivalently defined in a more algebraic fashion.

Proposition 9.3. *For any pointed linearly ordered set L the congruence $\rho_\preceq$ on the free semigroup FS_L coincides with the smallest congruence ρ on FS_L containing the pairs $(x, x1)$, $(x, 1x)$, and (x, x^2) for every $x \in L$ and the pairs $(x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_2)$, $(y_2x_2y_1x_1, y_2x_1)$ for any points $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in L$ with $x_1 \le x_2 \le 1 \le y_1 \le y_2$.*

Proof. First we prove that $\rho \subset \rho_\preceq$. The definition of the partial preorder $\preceq$ implies that $\{(x, x1), (x, 1x), (x, x^2) : x \in L\} \subset \rho_\preceq$. Repeating the proofs of Lemma 7.5, we can show that

$$\{(x_1y_1x_2y_2, x_1y_2), (y_2x_2y_1x_1, y_2x_1) : x_1, x_2, y_2, y_2 \in L,\ x_1 \le x_2 \le 1 \le y_1 \le y_2\} \subset \rho_\preceq.$$

Now the minimality of the congruence ρ implies that $\rho \subset \rho_\preceq$.

Denote by $\rho^\natural : FS_L \to FS_L/\rho$ and $q_L : FS_L \to FS_L/\rho_\preceq = FK_L$ the quotient homomorphisms. Since $\rho \subset \rho_\preceq$, there is a unique homomorphism $h : FS_L/\rho \to FK_L$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{K}_L \arrow[d, "i"] & \\
& FS_L \arrow[dl, "\rho^\natural"'] \arrow[dr, "q_L"] & \\
FS_L/\rho \arrow[rr, "h"'] & & FK_L
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this diagram by $i : \mathcal{K}_L \to FS_L$ we denote the identity inclusion of the set $\mathcal{K}_L$ of Kuratowski words into the free semigroup FS_L. The proof of Theorem 7.1 implies that $\rho^\natural(\mathcal{K}_L) = FS_L/\rho$.

On the other hand, Proposition 9.2 guarantees that the restriction $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L : \mathcal{K}_L \to FK_L$ is bijective. This implies that the homomorphism h is bijective and hence $\rho_\preceq = \rho$. □

The bijectivity of the restriction $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L : \mathcal{K}_L \to FK_L$ and Theorem 5.2 imply:

Corollary 9.4. *For any finite pointed linearly ordered set L the free Kuratowski monoid FK_L has cardinality*

$$|FK_L| = |\mathcal{K}_L| = K(n,p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \tbinom{i+j}{i} \tbinom{i+j}{j}$$

where $n = |L_-|$ and $p = |L_+|$.

Given two non-negative natural numbers n, p, fix any pointed linearly ordered set $L_{n,p}$ with $|(L_{n,p})_-| = n$ and $|(L_{n,p})_+| = p$ and denote the free Kuratowski monoid $FK_{L_{n,p}}$ by $FK_{n,p}$.

Proposition 9.5. *For any pointed linearly ordered set L and any distinct elements $x, y \in FK_L$ there is a morphism of pointed linearly ordered sets $f : L \to L_{2,2}$ such that $\bar f(x) \neq \bar f(y)$. This implies that FK_L embeds into some power of the free Kuratowski monoid $FK_{2,2}$.*

Proof. Enlarge the pointed linearly ordered set L to a $*$-linearly ordered set L^* and denote by $e : L \to L^*$ the identity embedding. Let $\tilde e : FS_L \to FS_{L^*}$ be the unique semigroup homomorphism extending e. It is clear that $\tilde e$ is an injective map.

By Proposition 9.2, the restriction $q_L|\mathcal{K}_L : \mathcal{K}_L \to FK_L$ is bijective. So, we can find Kuratowski words $u, v \in \mathcal{K}_L$ such that $q_L(u) = x$ and $q_L(v) = y$. By Theorem 8.1, for the Kuratowski words $u, v \in \mathcal{K}_L \subset \mathcal{K}_{L^*}$ there exist a 2-topological space $\mathbf{X}$ and a $*$-morphism $g : L^* \to L(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\hat g(u) \neq \hat g(v)$ where $\hat g : FS_{L^*} \to \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X})$ is the unique semigroup homomorphism extending the $*$-morphism g. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 8.1 guarantees that the linear generating set $L(\mathbf{X})$ of the 2-topological space X is isomorphic to the $*$-linearly ordered set $L_{2,2}$. So, there exists a (unique) bijective $*$-morphism $\iota : L_{2,2} \to L(\mathbf{X})$. Let $f = \iota^{-1} \circ g : L^* \to L_{2,2}$. The commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \arrow[r, "e"] \arrow[d] & L^* \arrow[r, "f"'] \arrow[d] \arrow[rr, bend left, "g"] & L_{2,2} \arrow[r, "\iota"'] & L(\mathbf{X}) \arrow[dd] \\
\mathcal{K}_L \arrow[r, "\tilde e|\mathcal{K}_L"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{K}_{L^*} \arrow[d] & & \\
FS_L \arrow[r, "\tilde e"] \arrow[d, "q_L"'] & FS_{L^*} \arrow[r, "\hat f"'] \arrow[d, "q_{L^*}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\hat g"] & FK_{2,2} \arrow[r, "\bar\iota"'] & \mathsf{K}(\mathbf{X}) \\
FK_L \arrow[r, "\bar e"] & FK_{L^*} \arrow[ru, "\bar f"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the inequality $\hat g \circ \tilde e(u) \neq \hat g \circ \tilde e(v)$ imply that $\bar f(x) \neq \bar f(y)$. □

In fact, Proposition 9.5 can be improved as follows.

Proposition 9.6. *For any pointed linearly ordered set L and any distinct elements $x, y \in FK_L$ there is a pair $(n, p) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ and a morphism of pointed linearly ordered sets $f : L \to L_{n,p}$ such that $\bar f(x) \neq \bar f(y)$. This implies that FK_L embeds into some power of the partially ordered monoid $FK_{1,2} \times FK_{2,1}$.*

Proof. Because of Proposition 9.5, it suffices to prove that the points of the free Kuratowski monoid $FK_{2,2}$ can be separated by monotone homomorphisms into the Kuratowski monoids $FK_{1,2}$ and $FK_{1,2}$. Write the $*$-linearly ordered set $L_{2,2}$ as $L_{2,2} = \{\breve\tau_0, \breve\tau_1, 1, \bar\tau_1, \bar\tau_0\}$ for some elements

$$\breve\tau_0 < \breve\tau_1 < 1 < \bar\tau_1 < \bar\tau_0.$$

By analogy the pointed linearly ordered sets $L_{1,2}$ and $L_{2,1}$ can be written as $L_{1,2} = \{\breve\tau, 1, \bar\tau_1, \bar\tau_0\}$ and $L_{2,1} = \{\breve\tau_0, \breve\tau_1, 1, \bar\tau\}$. Consider the four surjective monotone morphisms

$$h_{12} : L_{2,2} \to L_{1,2},\ h_{23} : L_{2,2} \to L_{1,2},\ h_{34} : L_{2,2} \to L_{2,1} \text{ and } h_{45} : L_{2,2} \to L_{2,1}$$

such that

$$h_{12}(\breve\tau_0) = h_{12}(\breve\tau_1) = \breve\tau,\ h_{23}(\breve\tau_1) = h_{23}(1) = 1,\ h_{34}(1) = h_{34}(\bar\tau_1) = 1, \text{ and } h_{45}(\bar\tau_1) = h_{45}(\bar\tau_0) = \bar\tau.$$

By Proposition 9.2, the free Kuratowski monoid $FK_{2,2}$ can be identified with the 63-element set $\mathcal{K}_{L_{2,2}}$ of Kuratowski words in the alphabet $L_{2,2}$:

$1,\ \breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,$

$\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,$

$\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,$

$\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1,\ \breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,$

$\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1,$

$\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1,\ \ \bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_1.$

In the following two lists we write the pairs $(h_{12}(w), h_{45}(w))$ and $(h_{23}(w), h_{34}(w))$ for the Kuratowski words w from the above list. Analyzing these two lists we can see that the quadruples $(h_{12}(w), h_{45}(w), h_{23}(w), h_{34}(w))$, $w \in \mathcal{K}_{L_{2,2}}$, are pairwise distinct, which means that the elements of the free Kuratowski monoid $FK_{2,2}$ are separated by the homomorphism $(h_{12}, h_{23}, h_{34}, h_{45}) : L_{2,2} \to L^2_{1,2} \times L^2_{2,1}$.

The homomorphism (h_{12}, h_{45}):

$(1,1),\ (\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau})\ (\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau})\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),\ (\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}),$

$(\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau},\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_1),\ \ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}).$

The homomorphism (h_{23}, h_{34}):

$(1,1)\ (\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (1,\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1,1),$

$(\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),$

$(\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),$

$(\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1),$

$(\bar{\tau}_1\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_1,\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0),\ \ (\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0,\breve{\tau}_1\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_0\bar{\tau}_0\breve{\tau}_1).$

$\square$

Proposition 9.6 shows that the homomorphisms into free Kuratowski monoids $FK_{n,p}$ for $n+p \leq 3$ completely determine the structure of any free Kuratowski monoid. The following diagram shows the order structure of the free Kuratowski monoids $FK_{1,1}$ with the linear generating set $L_{1,1} = \{a, 1, x\}$. We identify the elements of $FK_{1,1}$ with the Kuratowski words in the alphabet $L_{1,1}$. For two Kuratowski words u, v an arrow $u \to v$ indicated that $u \le v$ in $FK_{1,1}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& ax \arrow[dr] & \\
axa \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & xax \arrow[dd] \\
& xa \arrow[ur] & \\
a \arrow[uu] \arrow[r] & 1 \arrow[r] & x
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following diagram shows the order structure of the free Kuratowski monoids $FK_{2,1}$ with the linear generating set $L_{2,1} = \{a, b, 1, x\}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & ax \arrow[r] & bxax \arrow[d] \arrow[ddrr] & & \\
& axb \arrow[urr] \arrow[dr] & & & bx \arrow[dr] & & \\
axa \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & bxaxb \arrow[uurr] \arrow[r] \arrow[ddrr] & bxb \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & xbx \arrow[dddr] & xax \arrow[l] \\
& bxa \arrow[ur] \arrow[drr] & & & xa \arrow[ur] & & \\
& & & xa \arrow[r] & xaxb \arrow[u] \arrow[uurr] & & \\
a \arrow[uuu] \arrow[rr] & & b \arrow[uuur] \arrow[rr] & & 1 \arrow[rr] & & x
\end{tikzcd}$$

The free Kuratowski monoid $K_{1,2}$ is isomorphic to the free Kuratowski monoid $K_{2,1}$ endowed with the reversed partial order.

Proposition 9.6 has an interesting application.

Proposition 9.7. *All elements of any Kuratowski monoid K are idempotents.*

Proof. Let L be the linear generating set of the Kuratowski monoid K. Since K is a quotient monoid of the free Kuratowski monoid FK_L, it suffices to check that all elements of FK_L are idempotents. By Proposition 9.6, the free Kuratowski monoid FK_L embeds into some power of the monoid $FK_{1,2} \times FK_{2,1}$. So, it suffices to check that each element of the free Kuratowski monoids $FK_{1,2}$ and $FK_{2,1}$ is an idempotent. This can be seen by a direct verification of each of 17 elements of $FK_{1,2}$ (or its algebraically isomorphic copy $FK_{2,1}$). $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] K. Kuratowski, *Sur l'opération $\overline{A}$ de l'Analysis Situs*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922) 182–199.

[2] B.J. Gardner, M. Jackson, *The Kuratowski Closure-Complement Theorem*, New Zealand J. Math. **38** (2008), 9–44.

[3] R. Graham, D. Knuth, O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics. A foundation for computer science*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1994.

[4] J. Shallit, R. Willard, *Kuratowski's Theorem for two closure operators*, preprint (arXiv:1109.1227).

T. BANAKH: IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE) AND JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE (POLAND)
E-mail address: `t.o.banakh@gmail.com`

O. CHERVAK, T. MARTYNYUK, M. PYLYPOVYCH, M. SIMKIV: IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE)
E-mail address: `oschervak@gmail.com, tetyanka.martynyuk@gmail.com, pylypovych@gmail.com, simkiv.markiyan@gmail.com`

A.RAVSKY: INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED PROBLEMS OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV (UKRAINE)
E-mail address: `oravsky@mail.ru`